

From: [Broyles, Ragan](#)
To: [Banipal, Ben](#)
Cc: [Webster, Susan](#)
Subject: FW: Vitter Follow up
Date: Friday, February 07, 2014 9:19:39 AM

Ben...Can you r group take a first shot at this answer? We will need to run by Pam when she is available as she was heavily engaged in answering the first six questions.

From: Webster, Susan
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 8:24 AM
To: Broyles, Ragan
Cc: Delgado, Paige; Fife, Greg
Subject: Fw: Vitter Follow up

Can u have bens group give her the official answer on PRPs....if Pam is out. May want to determine how Pam wants to answer. I would reply to the prp question with those we have sent notice letters to....

From: Delgado, Paige
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 7:09:45 AM
To: Thomas, LaWanda
Cc: Webster, Susan
Subject: Re: Vitter Follow up

[That's up to Susan](#)

From: Thomas, LaWanda
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 7:04:16 AM
To: Delgado, Paige
Subject: Re: Vitter Follow up

Thanks. Did you forward to her?

LaWanda Thomas
Congressional Liaison
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6
214.665.7466

From: Delgado, Paige
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 6:56:14 AM
To: Thomas, LaWanda
Cc: Webster, Susan; Malone, George
Subject: Re: Vitter Follow up



9528470

George and I are off today. I'm sure Pam will handle this herself

From: Thomas, LaWanda

Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 6:32:53 AM

To: Delgado, Paige

Cc: Webster, Susan; Malone, George

Subject: Vitter Follow up

Good morning,

Thanks so much for putting together the response yesterday. I have this follow up from Vitter concerning the M6:

"Does the below apply in relation to the M6 propellant? For that aspect who is the "potentially responsible parties?" Is it still either general dynamics and ATK?

Can I assume the below answers translate the same for the M6?"

Thanks,

LaWanda

LaWanda Thomas

Congressional Liaison

US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6

214.665.7466